

1  
2  
3 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
4 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

5  
6 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. 11-CR-331 MMC

7 Plaintiff,

**ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR  
EXTENSION OF TIME**

8 v.

9 PETE AGAPITO CHAVEZ,

10 Defendant.  
\_\_\_\_\_ /


11  
12 Before the court is defendant Pete Agapito Chavez's ("Chavez") "Motion for an  
13 Extension of Time to Respond," filed February 25, 2015. By said motion, Chavez seeks an  
14 order extending the time in which he is required to respond to the government's January  
15 29, 2015 supplemental answer to his declaration in support of his motion for relief under 28  
16 U.S.C. § 2255. Subsequent to the government's submission of said supplemental answer,  
17 however, the Court afforded the government leave to file, no later than March 20, 2015, a  
18 motion for an order finding a limited waiver of the attorney-client privilege (see Order, filed  
19 February 17, 2015),<sup>1</sup> which motion, if granted, may result in the government's submission  
20 of additional evidence. Consequently, no deadline for a reply by Chavez has been set.

21 Accordingly, Chavez's motion for an extension is hereby DENIED.

22 After the above-referenced March 20 deadline has passed, the Court will proceed to  
23 set deadlines and/or other dates, as appropriate.

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25 Dated: March 3, 2015

26   
MAXINE M. CHESNEY  
United States District Judge

27  
28 \_\_\_\_\_  
<sup>1</sup>It appears that at the time he filed his motion for an extension, Chavez had not received a copy of the Court's February 17 order.